



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS Washington, D.C. 20231

				washington, D.C. 20231	
APPLICATION NO.	FI	LING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	L.TTONIUS	
09/751,939		01/02/2001	Jae Goan Jeong	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
	•			P 275428 2000-OPH-2055	8888
909	7590	10/23/2002			
PILLSBURY WINTHROP, LLP					
P.O. BOX 10	0500			EXAMINER	
MCLEAN, V	MCLEAN, VA 22102			VU, DAVID	
				ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			2818		
				DATE MAILED: 10/23/2002	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		Application No.	Applicant(s)	t -				
	Advisory Action	09/751,939	JEONG, JAE GOAN					
0		Examiner	Art Unit	_				
	The MAII INC DATE	DAVID VU	2818					
	The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address							
	The REPLY FILED 02 August 2000 FAILS TO PLACE THIS APPLICATION IN CONDITION FOR ALLOWANCE. Therefore, further action by the applicant is required to avoid abandonment of this application. A proper reply to a condition for allowance; (2) a timely filed Notice of Appeal (with appeal fee); or (3) a timely filed Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114.							
	PERIOD FOR REPLY [check either a) or b)]							
	a) The period for reply expiresmonths from the mailing b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this Ad no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire la ONLY CHECK THIS BOX WHEN THE FIRST REPLY WAS 706.07(f). Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The defee have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of fee under 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of th (2) as set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office imely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CF	date of the final rejection. dvisory Action, or (2) the date set forth inter than SIX MONTHS from the mailing FILED WITHIN TWO MONTHS OF THE late on which the petition under 37 CFR extension and the corresponding amount is shortened statutory period for reply or	E FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 1.136(a) and the appropriate extension					
	1. A Notice of Appeal was filed on Appellant's E 37 CFR 1.192(a), or any extension thereof (37 CFR	Drink manual berger						
	2. The proposed amendment(s) will not be entered bec	anse.	me appeal.					
	(a) they raise new issues that would require further	Consideration and/						
	 (a) ☑ they raise new issues that would require further consideration and/or search (see NOTE below); (b) ☐ they raise the issue of new matter (see Note below); 							
	(c) they are not deemed to place the application in better form for appeal by materially reducing or simplifying the issues for appeal; and/or							
	(d) they present additional claims without canceling							
13	See Continuation Sneet.			1				
	. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection			-				
4	. Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be canceling the non-allowable claim(s).	allowable if submitted in a sepa	rate, timely filed amendment					
5	☐ The a)☐ affidavit, b)☐ exhibit, or c)☐ request for recapplication in condition for allowance because:		red but does NOT place the					
1 -	The affidavit or exhibit will NOT be considered becaus raised by the Examiner in the final rejection.							
7.	For purposes of Appeal, the proposed amendment(s) explanation of how the new or amended claims would							
	The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:		11	l				
	Claim(s) allowed: <i>None</i> .							
	Claim(s) objected to: None.							
	Claim(s) rejected: <u>1-3</u> .							
	Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:							
8.[The proposed drawing correction filed on is a)	approved or b) disconnected	45 0 = .					
9.[Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s)	PTO-1440\ Dones N-(-)	o by the Examiner.					
10.	Other:	aper No(s).						
		A						
		David Nelms Supervisory Patent Examiner						
S Patr	on) and Trademark Office	Technology Center 2800						



Continuation of 2. NOTE: The new added limitation of 1 raises new issues. The amendments have narrowed the claims and thus requires further consideration.

David Nelms
Supervisory Patent Examiner
Technology Center 2800